

# **Foundation Certificate**

# FC1 UK Patent Law

Friday 18 October 2019 14:00 to 17:00

### INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- You should attempt ALL questions in Section A and any three questions in Section B.
- 2. The marks for each question in Section A are shown next to the question. Each question in Section B carries **20** marks.
- 3. If more than the required three questions are answered in Section B only the first three presented will be marked.
- 4. The total number of marks available for this paper is 100.
- 5. Start each question (but not each part of each question) on a new sheet of paper.
- 6. Enter the question number in the appropriate box at the top of each sheet of paper.
- 7. Do not state your name anywhere in the answers.
- 8. Write clearly, as examiners cannot award marks to answer scripts that cannot be read.
- 9. The scripts will be photocopied for marking purposes.
  - a) Use only blackink.
  - b) Write on one side of the paper only.
  - c) Write within the printed margins.
  - d) Do not use highlighter pens on your answer script.
- 10. Instructions on what to do at the end of the examination are on the Candidate Cover Sheet.
- 11. Any candidate script removed from the examination room will not be marked.
- 12. This question paper consists of **seven sheets**, including this sheet.

#### **SECTION A**

### **Question 1**

With reference to Section 60 UK Patents Act 1977 (Meaning of infringement), list five acts which do not constitute infringement of a patent.

5 marks

# **Question 2**

Write notes on one leading case of the British courts where novelty or inventive step is the main issue. Your notes should cover the parties to the case, a summary of the facts of the case, a summary of the decision and a brief discussion of what precedent is set by the case.

a) Parties to the case

1 mark

b) Summary of the facts to the case

2 marks

c) Summary of the decision

2 marks

d) Precedent set by the case

5 marks

Total: 10 marks

### **Question 3**

Explain whether it is possible for a UK patent application to claim priority from the following. In each case justify your answer.

a) A UK design application;

1 mark

b) A scientific paper published in a reputable scientific journal;

1 mark

c) A European patent application which does not designate GB;

1 mark

d) A US provisional application

1 mark

Total: 4 marks

With reference to Section 110 UK Patents Act 1977 (Unauthorised claim of patent rights), what defences are available to a claim that a person has falsely represented that something disposed of by that person for value is a patented product.

3 marks

#### **Question 5**

With reference to Section 36 UK Patents Act 1977 (Co-ownership of patents and applications for patents), summarise the rights of joint proprietors of a patent.

8 marks

# **Question 6**

According to Section 1 UK Patents Act 1977 (Patentable inventions), a scheme, rule or method for performing a mental act as such is not patentable. Explain how this exclusion is interpreted in practice, the aim of the exclusion and how a claim may avoid the exclusion

4 marks

# **Question 7**

With reference to *Schedule A2, Section 76A UK Patents Act 1977 (Biotechnological Inventions)*, state three biotechnical inventions which are not patentable.

6 marks

PART A Total: 40 marks

### **SECTION B**

# **Question 8**

a) According to Section 74A UK Patents Act 1977, who may request the Comptroller to issue an opinion?

1 mark

b) On what issues will the Comptroller issue an opinion?

4 marks

c) The Comptroller will issue an opinion in respect of an expired patent. Give one example of a situation in which this might be useful to a third party.

1 mark

d) What must be filed when requesting such an opinion?

3 marks

e) Briefly summarise the procedure followed by UKIPO from the receipt of such a request for an opinion through to the issuance of the opinion.

10 marks

f) According to Section 74B UK Patents Act 1977, who may seek a review of the opinion?

1 mark

### Your client writes:

We make tarmac. As you may be aware, tarmac is essentially a mixture of glass particles and tar. We have discovered that for a particular ratio X of tar to glass particles the tarmac has very desirable properties for laying as a road surface. We filed a PCT application for our invention and then to be honest we forgot about it.

We have now discovered a competitor who is selling bags of tarmac with a tar to glass particle ratio of X. They are also selling tar and bags of glass particles. They are selling these goods to a single customer, who is using them to lay roads.

What should we do?

You obtain a copy of the PCT application. It was filed on 1 July 2017 without claiming priority. There is no record of it being entered into any national or regional phase. The PCT application has one independent claim, which is to a method of applying tarmac to a road surface, the tarmac having a ratio of tar to glass particles in the range X +/- 10%. The search report does not cite any relevant prior art. The written opinion only raises a minor clarity objection.

Prepare notes for a meeting with your client. In addition to notes as to how to proceed, your notes should also include a list of potentially infringing acts and parties with reasons, and also whether there are any potential defences to infringement.

Respond to the following questions asked by your client:

a) My friend and I have jointly developed an invention. My friend is not interested in filing a patent application. However, I would like to obtain patent protection. My friend is agreeable to this and is happy to sign any documents required. How should I proceed?

4 marks

b) I disclosed my latest invention at a public talk this morning before I came to this meeting. Can I file the notes I handed out as a patent application? Is there anything else you suggest I should be doing in relation to filing the application? Even if I do file the notes as an application, the filing will be after I gave the talk and so presumably the talk is still prior art?

5 marks

c) I have heard that by making one of my patents 'licence of right', renewal fees are halved. What other consequences does this have?

8 marks

d) Whilst on the subject of licences, I have attempted to obtain an exclusive licence to a patent owned by a third party. In reply, the third party has offered me a sole licence. What is the difference between the two?

3 marks

a) Your client makes hinges for gates. They filed their own patent application (P1) on 14 November 2018 to a hinge mechanism for a garden gate. You review the application and see it comprises one claim, a description and drawings only. The one claim is to a garden gate hinge. The description describes several embodiments of the hinge, both alone and in combination with a garden gate.

The clients have paid the filing and search fees for P1 and a search report issued on 14 February 2019. The search report cites one document D1. D1 is a PCT application designating all states. It has a priority date of 14 June 2017 and was published on 14 December 2018. D1 relates to a lid for a biscuit tin but the description shows use of a hinge which is substantially identical to the client's hinge apart from scale.

Advise your client on the procedure for prosecuting P1 through to grant, including any time scales. Include a detailed consideration of the impact (if any) of D1 as prior art and how you might overcome it if necessary.

16 marks

b) Your client has a new embodiment of the hinge. The new embodiment is identical to the previous embodiment but also includes a restoring spring. Your client states that such restoring springs are in common use with garden gate hinges but they would like to protect this variant. You file a second application P2 today claiming priority from the first. The second application includes the content of the original application P1 as well as a description of the new embodiment and also a dependent claim (claim 2) to the restoring spring.

What objection will the UKIPO raise to a combination of claims 1 and 2 during examination? Explain your answer.

4 marks