0.5

Question 1.

- a) 3 months $\sqrt{0.5}$ from the date of the notice of allowance.
- b) Germany
 - No translation ✓ 0.5 to claims/description
 - − Official language of Germany is German, which is also one of 3 official languages of the EPO. \checkmark 0.5

Italy

- Full translation of both claims & description into Italian ✓ 0.5
- Italy is not a member of the London Agreement ✓ 1 & Italian is not one
 of the official languages of the EPO.

Netherlands

- claims into Dutch only ✓1 ⇒
 description can be in English ✓1
- Netherlands is state to the London Agreement ✓1 & therefore disposes with translation requirements for the description if English is the language
- Netherlands prescribed English.

5.5



MARKS AWARDED 6/8

Question 2.

a) 31 July 2018 + 10 days

10 August 2018 + 4 months

file response by 10 December 2018. ✓1

b) - the applicant could use further processing ✓0.5 - further processing fee would be payable; ✓0.5 the deadline would be extended by 2-5 months - a period would be specified by the examiner, starting from the date of the loss of rights communication. ✓0.5

2

- The applicant could also ask the examiner for a discretionary extension. ✓ 0.5
- c) − The amendments must not broaden the scope of the claims; ✓1
 - The amendments must be supported by the application as filed –
 cannot add subject matter; as such, basis for the amendments should be indicated;
 - The amendments should aim to address the objections raised in the Exam. Report; ✓1
 - the amendments should be clear,
 - must not extend the scope of protection



MARKS AWARDED 5/7

Question 3.

Hong Kong protection – cannot be directly filed. Must stem from an earlier Chinese, GB or EP(GB) application.

The procedure involves two stages:

- stage 1 6 months ✓1 after the publication of the GB publication Must be applied for by 3 January 2019. Apply in HK national patent office.
- stage 2 6 months after grant ✓1 of the GB publication. Must be applied for by 6m from grant of the GB application.



MARKS AWARDED 2/4

Question 4.

AFCP – after final consideration program ✓1 – indicate willingness to conduct a telephone interview with the examiner; file a request & pay the fee; include a reasoned attempt to address the examiner's objections by substantial amendment to at least one independent claim; within 2m from the final OA. ✓1

- RCE Request for continued ✓1 examinations file a request & pay the fee within 2m from the final OA; include amendments to the claims or arguments; written request. ✓1
- file an appeal an appeal has suspensive effect; as such, the rights will remain pending for the duration of the appeal proceedings. ✓1 Deadline:
 3m from the date of the Final Office Action. ✓1



MARKS AWARDED 7/8

7

Question 5.

- a) Filing date minimum requirements:
 - the applicant must be eligible to file a PCT application; ✓1
 - a request for registration of an international patent; ✓ 0.5
 - description ✓ 0.5, as there is no earlier application so there will be no reference to an earlier application;
 - details of the applicant name & address of the applicant ✓1
 - must be in a prescribed language; ✓1
 - must include a designation of at least contracting state.

4

- b) PCT can be filed:
 - directly at <u>WIPO</u>; ✓1
 - at a national intellectual property office of a PCT contracting state
 (office of origin); so in this case, <u>UKIPO</u>; ✓1
 - at EPO. ✓ 1

3

c) Article 19 amendments:

later of 2 \checkmark 1 months of the receipt of the International Search Report or 16 months from priority date. \checkmark 1

2



MARKS AWARDED 9/10

Question 6.

- a) China
 - Following recent changes, patentable subject matter
 - Before changes, no

Japan

No; ✓ 0.5 not patentable

India

- No; ✓ 0.5 not patentable
- b) China
 - Following recent changes to the law, yes; √0.5 Before that, No.

Japan

Yes, ✓0.5 patentable

India

- Yes, patentable.



MARKS AWARDED 2/3

Question 8.

- a) UK filing date 6 November 2017
 - Both China & UK are in the PC/WTO can validly claim priority from the UK filing. Subsequent CN application should be filed by 6 November 2018 (12 months)
 - Utility model: term 10 years ✓1
 - Lower inventiveness threshold
 - Advantage: utility models are quicker to register than patents are, ✓1

As such:

 file a national patent application in China, claiming priority from the earlier UK application;

2

- metal detector likely to be a leader for 12 years
 - \Rightarrow utility model only lasts 10;
 - ⇒ patent lasts 20 years from filing date;
- patent application published after 18 months (PCT) By that time, the Chinese company would already be selling the metal detector; accelerate publication?
- utility model will be faster to obtain than the patent;
- for utility models, formalities & substantive examination;

Claim priority, from the earlier UK filing, for the utility model;

- Patent will offer protection for the whole 12 year period, but a utility model will be registered before the chinese company starts selling.
- b) Most cost effective strategy
 - ⇒ file a PCT application ✓ 1, Claiming priority from earlier GB filing, designate Germany, Mexico, Israel & Saudi Arabia
 - File the PCT application by 6 November ✓1 2018.
 - Deadline for national phase entry:

```
Germany – 30m ✓0.5 from International Filing Date (IFD)
```

Mexico – 30m ✓ 0.5 from IFD

Israel – 30m ✓0.5 from IFD

Saudi Arabia – 30m √0.5 from IFD

- \Rightarrow So by 6 May 2021 (for all)
- Claim fees due?

Germany – upon entry $\checkmark 0.5$ into national phase (NP);

Mexico – upon entry into NP;

Israel – 3 months from entry $\checkmark 0.5$ into NP; extendable by further 3m by fee.

Saudi Arabia – upon entry into NP

Examiner's use only Utility model protection available? Germany – Yes ✓ 0.5 Mexico – Yes ✓ 0.5 Israel – No √0.5 Saudi Arabia – No **√**0.5

MARKS AWARDED 9/20

Question 9.

a) Renewals due:

3.5 years, 7.5 years ✓1, and 11.5 ✓1 years after grant

as such:

11 Dec 2011 + 42 months = 11 June 2015

11 Dec 2011 + 90 months = 11 June 2019

11 Dec 2011 + 11.5y = 11 June 2023

Expires 20 years from filing \Rightarrow 8 October 2027 \checkmark 1

b) Option 1: Local search & examination:

deadline = 13 months from filing

- If any deficiencies are found, the applicant is notified by means of a written opinion from the intellectual property office; $\checkmark 0.5$
- the applicant is given a chance to reply within 5 months; $\sqrt{0.5}$
- reply can constitute comments or amendments;

Option 2: Local search, overseas examination

Request by: 36 months from filing

- the application is searched by the Singapore intellectual property office, but overseas examination is used to aid it;
- Deficiencies indicated by a written opinion; applicant can respond within 3 months.

Third option – overseas examination & overseas search – deadline: 54 months.

- c) Client disclosed "inadvertently"; as such, it does <u>not</u> seem like the disclosure was a result of abuse;
 - Local trade show in the UK international exhibition exemption does
 not apply; ✓1
 - It does not seem like a breach of confidentiality occured.

As such:

Australia – yes; ✓1 12 month grace period for self-disclosure applies ✓1, so yes if it happened within the last 12 months.

China – No; \checkmark 1 see discussion above – usually 6m grace for abuse/international exhibition \Rightarrow does not apply;

US – possibly – did the disclosure occur in the last 12 months? ✓1 See Australia

12m grace period for self disclosure. ✓1

EPC ✓1 – No. Absolute novelty required; 6m grace for abuse/international exhibition. ✓1



MARKS AWARDED 12/20

Question 10.

- a) Inter-partes review: (IPR)
 - Pay a fee & File a request for IPR
 - can be instigated by anyone;
 - can be instigated ater the expiry of the period in which a post grant review could be initiated, i.e. after 9 months from the mention of grant ✓1 ⇒ has it been 9 months since the US patent was granted? Ask client.
 - can only be instigated on the grounds of (lack of) novelty $\checkmark 0.5$ and obviousness $\checkmark 0.5$; must be based on printed publications $\checkmark 0.5$ as such, could use the publication in the journal;

USPTO will only allow an inter partes review if it is more than likely that the claimant will succeed in an attack with respect to at least one claim $\checkmark 0.5$;

- likely to be allowed in this case if the core principles were disclosed
 years before the filing date, in a printed publication, US granted
 patent would lack novelty or be obvious.
- ex parte re-examination must be requested by the proprietor; usually done in an effort to maintain validity;

Fee has to be paid; the examiner re-examines a granted US application.

Can be done at any time after grant ✓1. Cheaper than Post Grant Review.

Provides legal certainty, especially after a new piece of prior art emerges.

b) Yes – anyone can oppose a Japanese patent; ✓1

Deadline – 6 months from the date of grant ✓1 – need to file opposition by 3 January 2019.

c) Opposition period in Europe lasts 9 months from grant; as such, it is too late for opposition ✓0.5 – deadline was 6 September 2018 ✓0.5, not extendable;

Revocation possible $\checkmark 1$ – anytime after grant; request the EPO's opinion regarding validity; obtain, from the EPO, a declaration of non-infringement.

Deadline for opposition in Germany:

9 months from grant of the patent;

i.e., 6 September 2018:

Grounds of revocation:

patentability $\checkmark 0.5$ (lack of novelty, lack of inventive step); sufficiency $\checkmark 0.5$, entitlement $\checkmark 0.5$ (lack of), scope of protection extended after grant. $\checkmark 0.5$

Procedure:

- apply at the German Patent Office;
- anyone can oppose
- proceedings can be instigated anonymously;

4

2

- d) Pay the opposition fee;
 - File a written statement indicating grounds for opposition with reasoned comments.
 - Opposed patent can be revoked ✓ 0·5, maintained ✓ 0·5 in current form, or amended.
 - − Appeal possible to the German Court. \checkmark 0.5
 - German opposition works retroactively.

3.5

MARKS AWARDED 11.5/20

