Paper Ref	Sheet	Percentage Mark Awarded	Examiner's use only
FC3	1 of 20	65%	
SECTION A			
Question 1			
)			
30 months from the priority	/ date (or filing date if no	oriority claimed).	
1 May 2023.			
i)			
12 month as of right exten	sion no longer available.		
✓0.5 Must now pay fee, and pro	ovide written evidence that	t the late filing was	
\checkmark 1 unintentional, for filing a C	anadian national phase a	pplication within 42 months	
from the priority date. (i.e.	a 12 month discretionary	extension to the 30 month	
deadline). ✓1			
		MARKS AWARDED: 3.5/4	

3.5

The Board of Appeal will consider whether the content of the request is new and substantial, including the new arguments.

Yes, it appears that the request and arguments should be admitted. $\checkmark 1$

1

MARKS AWARDED: 1/4



	Sheet	
3	of 20	

<u>Approve</u>

Within 4 months of notification of communication of the R.71(3), taking account of the 10 day postal rule:

Approve the EDREX (proposed specification for grant).

Pay any outstanding excess claim/page fees.

File translations to the claims in the other two official EPC languages (e.g.

German and French if the specification is in English)

Pay the grant fee.

Disapprove

Within 4 months of notification of communication of the R.71(3), taking account of the 10 day postal rule:

√1

Disapprove the EDREX

Provide supporting arguments for why the previously submitted specification should be allowed for grant, or propose alternative amendments along with supporting arguments.

If the EPO agrees, they will issue another R.71(3) communication, the right to which can be waived by the applicant.

If the EPO disagrees, an Examination Report will issue setting out the objections to the proposed specification. \checkmark_1

Page subtotal 2

Paper Ref	Sheet	Examiner's use only
FC3	4 of 20	
The applicant will then have	e 4 months from notification of this Exa	amination
Report to respond.		
<u>Do nothing</u>		
Wait for the response perio	d of 4 months + 10 days from issuanc	e of R.71(3) to
lapse.		
EPO will thereafter issue a	notification of loss of rights.	
Within two months following	g such notification:	√ 1
Request Further Processing	g and pay the Further Processing fee	
Complete the omitted acts,	outlined in the first option above.	
Pay a surcharge (+50%) or	the grant fee and any excess claim/p	age fees.
	MARKS	AWARDED: 3/3
	3	
		Page sub- total

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner's use only
FC3	5 of 20		
Question 4			
a)			
30 months from the priorit	y date of the PCT ap	oplication. 3 December 2020. ✓1	1
b)			
Small entity v0.5			
Less than 500 employees	√1		
Has not licensed or assigr	ned the application to	o a non-small entity. ✓1	2.5
<u>Micro entity</u> ✓ Meets the requirements fo	$\sqrt{1}$ or small entity above,	, plus:	
		less than three times the mediar	1
US household inco	me. √ 1		2
Has not previously	filed more than 3 US	S patent applications.	
Has not licensed or	r assigned the applic	ation to a non-micro entity.	
Applicant is a not-fe	or-profit or higher ed	ucation institution, or the patent	
	en assigned or exclus	sively licensed to such an	
institution.			
Applicant than aligible for	75% discount on ma		
Applicant then eligible for		ny of the official tees	

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner's use only
FC3	6 of 20		
	1		
)			
ess than 500 employees	, no revenue and has l	licensed to a not-for-profit.	
lo previous patent applic	ations in the US.		
herefore, micro-entity sta	atus. 🔨 1		1
		MARKS AWARDED: 6.5/10	
	(6.5)		

FC3

Question 5

You could apply for a utility model. \checkmark_1

Utility models protect inventions like patents, and are enforceable against third parties like patents.

It is not possible to apply for utility models in as many countries for which patents are available.

Different countries also apply differing rules (e.g. for examination) to utility models.

The term of protection also varies from country to country (e.g. 6 years for a Certificate of Utility in France and 10 years for a Utility Model in China).

They have a shorter term of protection (typically 10 years, versus 20 years for patents).

They tend to be quicker to register.

They are not usually substantively examined, or are examined for novelty only (and would therefore appear to be suitable for your hosepipe attachment which you are doubtful is inventive).

They are also cheaper to register than patents.

They are not available for methods or processes, which is not a problem for your hosepipe attachment (which is a device/apparatus).

Four countries where you could obtain protection:

- Japan ✓1
- Korea ✓1

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner's use only
FC3	8 of 20		
 Germany ✓1 			
• Mexico 🖌			
		MARKS AWARDED: 5/5	
	5		
	Ŭ		

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner's use only
FC3	9 of 20		
Question 6			
i)			
Excess claim fees are due	for claims 16-51. \checkmark 1		
The first tier of excess fees	s is for claims 16-50. <mark>√1</mark>		3
The second tier of excess	fees is for claim 51. ✓1		
ii)			
Could amend claims to ren	nove claims relating to inve	entions B and C, delete	
claims 16-51 and place sul	bject matter in description.	√1	
However, the EPO may ex	amine multiple independer	nt apparatus claims if they	
relate to:			
• a plurality of interrel	ated products;		
• different uses of an	apparatus; or	√1	
 alterative solutions t 	to the same technical probl	lem.	
If this is true for inventions	A, B and C, then we could	delete a number of A's	2
dependent claims and inclu	ude claims relating to B and	d C in claims 14 & 15, for	
example.			
However, if the multiple inc	dependent claims are unlik	ely to be allowed, and	
inventions B and C are ren	noved from the claims, the	n we may file divisional	
applications for both B and	C before the mention of g	rant of the parent in the EP	
Bulletin.			
Alternatively, we could file	a first divisional applicatior	n for B before the mention of	

grant of the parent in the EP Bulletin, and 'chain' the divisional application for C.

Page subtotal 5

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner use only
FC3	10 of 20		
i)		_	
Claim fees are due within	2 months of filing, when the	ne payment and request for	0
earch are also due.			
	-	MARKS AWARDED: 5/7	
	5		

A discovery, scientific theory or mathematical method.

A literary, musical, dramatic or artistic work, or any aesthetic creation \checkmark_1 whatsoever.

A scheme, rule or method for playing a game, doing business, performing a mental act or a program for a computer. \checkmark_1

The presentation of information. \checkmark 1

Inventions in the EPC have to be technical in nature, i.e. they have to solve a

technical problem and make a technical contribution over the state of the art.

The above exclusions all relate to matter that is non-technical as such.

Methods of treatment on the human or animal body, whether by therapy or surgery.

Methods of diagnosis on the human or animal body.

Processes for cloning human beings.

Industrial or commercial use of human embryos.

A process for modifying the genetic germ line of human beings.

All the above where the invention relates to that thing as such.

MARKS AWARDED: 4/4

Page subtotal 4

United States. Application must be filed within 12 month grace period for earliest public disclosure derived from inventor. \checkmark_1

Argentina. Application must be filed within 12 month grace period for earliest public disclosure. \checkmark 1

Australia. Application must be filed within 12 month grace period for earliest public disclosure. $\checkmark 1$

3

MARKS AWARDED: 3/3

Pa	per	Ref

FC3

Sheet 13 of 20

Se	ction	В
Se	ction	В

Question 9

a)

Must file an information disclosure statement (IDS). <a>1

Before issuance of the patent, we have a duty of candour to disclose relevant

prior art which we are aware of to the USPTO. \checkmark_1

This must be done in writing, directly filed with the USPTO.

 \checkmark 0.5 Payment of a fee is due, as the notice of allowance has already issued.

The IDS should be filed as soon as possible, within three months of when we

became aware of the prior art, and before payment of the issue fee.

IDS should identify the patents.

Provide English translations of at least their abstracts if they are not in English.

If they are US patents, then the patent numbers will suffice.

b)

Azam does not have a duty of candour to the USPTO to notify of prior art he is made aware of after the issuance of the patent. $\checkmark 1$

However, XX3 is identical and may therefore invalidate his patent if it is submitted by a third party. Should review to see if any amendments can be made to the patent that do not significantly limit its scope of protection, but make it new and non-obvious over XX3.

> Page subtotal 4.5

3.5

c)

Post Grant Review (PGR) </

Any party (not the patent proprietor) may apply for post grant review within 9 months of the date of issuance or re-issue of the patent. $\checkmark 1$

It may be done on the following grounds:

- Patentability (novelty and obviousness) (XX3 could be used here).
- Lacking written description
- Enablement

The USPTO will notify you if a third party applies for PGR, and you will have the opportunity to respond with amendments and/or observations.

There is no requirement for court proceedings to have started for the third party to request PGR.

The third party is made party to the proceedings.

Must show that 'more than likely' claim(s) are invalid. \checkmark_1

After PGR is concluded, the third party is 'estopped' from raising the same issue in subsequent proceedings.

Inter Partes Review (IPR) </

After 9 months from the date of issuance or re-issue of the patent, or after PGR has terminated.

Any party may apply.

Page subtotal 6

2

√1

Paper Ref	Sheet	Examiner's use only
FC3	15 of 20]
More restricted grounds: r	novelty and obviousness a	lone. V1
Also limited to printed pub	lications and patents/appl	ications as citable prior art.
(XX3 is still allowable as if	is printed). \checkmark_1	
No requirement for court p	proceedings to have starte	d.
The third party is made pa	arty to the proceedings.	2
Must show that 'reasonab	ly likely' claim(s) invalid.	
Must provide reason for n	ew and substantial challer	nge to validity of claim(s).
After IPR is concluded, the	e third party is 'estopped'	from raising the same issue
in subsequent proceeding	S.	
<u>Ex Parte Review (EPR)</u>		
A third party may apply at	any time after issuance o	r re-issue of the patent.
Not made party to the pro	ceedings before the USP1	-O.
Restricted to initial observ	ations only.	
Must provide reason for n	ew and substantial challer	nge to the validity of claim(s).
After EPR is concluded, th	ne third party is 'estopped'	from raising the same issue
in subsequent proceeding		

In all cases, the USPTO may order that the patent be amended, partially revoke the patent (i.e. limit to certain claims), or fully revoke the patent.

(12.5

MARKS AWARDED: 12.5/20

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner's use only
FC3	16 of 20		
Question 10			
a)			
i)			
A 6 month grace period is	available in Europe for pub	lic disclosures made in	
breach of confidence. 🗸	^1		2
Should file application in E	Europe by 8 December 2020). ✓1	2
ii)			
12 month grace period in t	the US for public disclosures	s derived from inventor	
(which this was, due to bre	each of confidence). <		2
Should file application in U	JS by 8 June 2021.		
iii)			
China applies an absolute	novelty requirement.		
Not possible to obtain prot	ection in China if invention	has already been publicly	0
disclosed.			
iv)			
12 month grace period for	public disclosures of invent	ion. √1	
File application in Australia		√1	2

b)

i)

Product would have had to have been launched at a recognised exhibition to not count as prior art. Therefore, it appears a patent application would not be possible in Europe as the earlier product launch would count as prior art against the application. \checkmark_1

ii)

Public disclosure can be made if derived from inventor, within 12 months of filing application. So can still file US application, as the product launch would not count as prior art against the application. \checkmark 1

iii)

Still absolute novelty in China, so still no patent application possible, as earlier product launch would count as prior art against the application. \checkmark_1

iv)

Grace period for public disclosure, so may still apply for patent application within 12 months of disclosure. Product launch would not count as prior art. \checkmark_1

c)

India. 🔨

MARKS AWARDED: 11/20

Page subtotal 5

FC3

Question 12

<u>Leash</u>

File a PCT application in English. <a>1

File description, claims and abstract.

Identify the applicant and indicate that an international application is sought.

File with the USPTO as Receiving Office (cheaper than IB(WIPO)).

Filing fee, transmittal fee and search fee should be paid within 1 month of filing,

otherwise IB(WIPO) will issue a notification that the fees are unpaid, also

requiring a surcharge (which will be more expensive).

No further fees are due during the international phase, if a Chapter II Demand is not made (i.e. there you do not require International Examination).

Next fees that will be due are:

National filing fees for US and China are due at 30 months from priority.

National filing fees for Europe and Australia are due at 31 months from priority.

 $\checkmark 1$ $\checkmark 0.5$ Utility models (which tend to be cheaper than patents) are available in Australia $\checkmark 0.5$ and China, and can also be nationally filed from the PCT.

Bonearang

First file a provisional US application in English. No claims required, only subject matter of invention as a description.

Only a minimal filing fee is due.

1

A provisional application allows you to disclose subject matter and obtain a valid priority date.

It will not be possible to protect the invention with the provisional application, but it allows for an inexpensive way of gaining a priority date.

Within 12 months of US provisional application filing date, file European application claiming priority.

On filing European application, pay filing fee and pay and request search within 2 months of filing.

Claims should be kept to no more than 15 to avoid excess claim fees.

Could not pay any fees on European filing, and wait for notification of loss of $\sqrt{1}$ rights. This may take at least a month to issue, in which case the further processing fee and other fees would not be due until after 15 months from priority.

A Europe-wide utility model is not available, although utility models are available in some contracting states (e.g. Germany), and are cheaper than patents.

Also file US utility patent within 12 months claiming priority from provisional US application. (Could 'convert' provisional, but this is more expensive and you would have a shorter term of protection in the US).

Ensure that there are:

- maximum three independent claims;
- maximum 20 claims total; and
- no multiple dependencies.

Paper Ref	Sheet		Examiner's use only
FC3	20 of 20		
In order to minimise claim	fees payable with reques	t for search and examination.	
Search and examination fe in response to an invitation		hortly after if not paid on filing,	1
Tooth sharpener ✓1			
First file US utility patent in	English. Not worth first	filing a provisional	
application, as the fees due	e for the utility patent wo	uld still be due within 15	
months.			
Search and examination fe	es are due on filing, or s	hortly after if not paid on filing,	
in response to an invitation	from the USPTO.		
Ensure that there are:			
maximum three inde	ependent claims;		
maximum 20 claims total; and			
no multiple dependencies.			
In order to minimise claim fees payable with request for search and examination.			1
Utility models not available	in the US.		
		MARKS AWARDED: 10/20	
	10		
	_		